



Office de la propriété
intellectuelle
du Canada

Un organisme
d'Industrie Canada
www.opic.gc.ca

Canadian
Intellectual Property
Office

An Agency of
Industry Canada
www.cipo.gc.ca

RECEIVED

2008 SEP -3 A 8: 52

August 29, 2008

FETHERSTONHAUGH & CO.

P.O. Box 2999
Station D
OTTAWA Ontario
K1P 5Y6

55 METCALFE STREET
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Application No. : **2,502,216**
Owner : YAMATAKE CORPORATION
Title : **NETWORK MONITORING SYSTEM**
Classification : G06F 13/00 (2006.01)
Your File No. : **30984-1**
Examiner : N. Zelem

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED OF A REQUISITION BY THE EXAMINER IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION 30(2) OF THE *PATENT RULES*. IN ORDER TO AVOID ABANDONMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH 73(1)(A) OF THE *PATENT ACT*, A WRITTEN REPLY MUST BE RECEIVED WITHIN 6 MONTHS AFTER THE ABOVE DATE.

This application has been examined taking into account the:

Description, as originally filed;
Claims, as originally filed; and
Drawings, as originally filed.

The number of claims in this application are 21.

The examiner has identified the following defects in the application:

A search of the following area was performed: Delphion. The search of the prior art has revealed the following:

References Applied

PCT Application

6,233,256 Published: May 15, 2001 7-Int. Cl: H04J-3/07 *Dieterich et al.*

United States Patent (Cited by the USPTO)

6,351,762 Published: Feb. 26, 2002 7-Int. Cl: G06F-15/16 *Ludwig et al.*

Dieterich et al. teach a method and apparatus for analyzing and monitoring packet streams (Refer to Title). The present invention is a method and apparatus for analysing and monitoring packet streams. The apparatus, a packet analyser, comprises an input buffer, a real-time analysis unit, a non-real-time analysis unit, a graphics unit and a monitor(Refer to Column 2,

Canada

OPIC  CIPO

lines 5 to 15). A packet stream is received into the input buffer where the data is read by the real-time analysis unit (Refer to Column 2, lines 5 to 15). Messages are passed between the real-time analysis unit and the non-real-time analysis unit to report on detected errors or to update packet stream information. In turn, real time packet stream information are displayed and updated on a display via the graphic unit (Refer to Column 2, lines 5 to 15). The displayed information are grouped in a manner to easily alert an operator as to potential problems in a packet stream or a network of packet streams (Refer to Column 2, lines 5 to 15).

Ludwig et al. teach that time sensitive media can be stored on conventional file systems...include window event lists as supported by the Window-Event Record and Play system '512' shown in Figure 30 (Refer to Column 28, lines 48 to 50). This component allows for storing and replaying a user's interactions with application programs by capturing the requests and events exchanged between the client program and the window system in a time stamped sequence (Refer to Column 28, lines 51 to 53). After this "record" phase, the resulting information is stored in a conventional file that can later be retrieved and "played" back (Refer to Column 28, lines 53 to 57). During the playback the same sequence of window system requests and events reoccurs with the same relative timing as when they were recorded (Refer to Column 28, lines 60 to 63).

Claims 1 to 21 do not comply with section 28.3 of the Patent Act. The subject matter of these claims are obvious to one skilled in the art or science to which they pertain having regard to *Dieterich et al.* in view of *Ludwig et al.*

Dieterich et al. teach most of the elements as claimed in **claims 1 to 21** with the exception of providing in response to a request by a user that a display information generation section regenerates for continuous play back information of a sequence of individual actions that occurred on the network and cooperates with the display unit to display, during each play back, the regenerated information of each individual action of the sequence at the same time interval within the sequence as the action occurred. However, *Ludwig et al.* do teach these features (Refer to Column 28, lines 50 to 63). Therefore, **claims 1 to 21** would be obvious to one skilled in the art with reference to *Dieterich et al.* in view of *Ludwig et al.*

In view of the foregoing defects, the applicant is requisitioned, under subsection 30(2) of the Patent Rules, to amend the application in order to comply with the Patent Act and the Patent Rules or to provide arguments as to why the application does comply.

N. Zelem
Examiner
(819)-953-9814